Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 592-594 isotropic displacement parameters (\check{A}^2)

Ue	$q = (1/3)\sum_i \sum_j U^{ij}$	$^{j}a^{i}a^{j}\mathbf{a}_{i}.\mathbf{a}_{j}.$	
x	y	z	U_{eq}
0.3186(1)	-0.17922(3)	0.91892 (4)	0.03759 (8)
0.2064(1)	0.07052 (3)	0.76954 (4)	0.03828 (8)
-0.1105 (4)	-0.1297(1)	0.6310(2)	0.0563 (3)
0.5273 (3)	-0.0564(1)	1.0334(2)	0.0304 (3)
0.0954 (4)	-0.0878 (1)	0.7492 (2)	0.0368 (3)
	<i>x</i> 0.3186 (1) 0.2064 (1) -0.1105 (4) 0.5273 (3) 0.0954 (4)	$U_{eq} = (1/3)\sum_{i}\sum_{j}U^{i}$ $\begin{array}{c} x & y \\ 0.3186 (1) & -0.17922 (3) \\ 0.2064 (1) & 0.07052 (3) \\ -0.1105 (4) & -0.1297 (1) \\ 0.5273 (3) & -0.0564 (1) \\ 0.0954 (4) & -0.0878 (1) \end{array}$	$U_{eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U^{ij} a^i a^j \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$ $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

S1—C1	1.731 (1)	S2C2	1.776 (2)
S1—C2	1.779 (2)	O1C2	1.205 (2)
S2—C1 ⁱ	1.730 (1)	C1C1 ⁱ	1.343 (2)
$\begin{array}{c} C1 - S1 - C2 \\ C1^{i} - S2 - C2 \\ S1 - C1 - S2^{i} \\ S1 - C1 - C1^{i} \end{array}$	94.82 (6)	S2 ¹ C1C1 ¹	118.3 (1)
	94.83 (6)	S1C2S2	113.78 (7)
	123.61 (7)	S1C2O1	123.0 (1)
	118.1 (1)	S2C2O1	123.2 (1)
$S1 \cdot \cdot \cdot S2^{ii}$	3.5513 (5)	$S1 \cdot \cdot \cdot S2^{iii}$	3.8381 (6)
Symmetry code: (i) (iii) $1 - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{z} - x, -y, z$	2 - z; (ii) $-x, y - z$	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} - z$

Data collection: CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989). Cell refinement: CAD-4 Software. Data reduction: TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1995). Program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare et al., 1993). Program(s) used to refine structure: TEXSAN. Software used to prepare material for publication: TEXSAN.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: JZ1320). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1-19.
- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C. & Guagliardi, A. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. 26, 343-350.
- Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1995). TEXSAN. Single Crystal Structure Analysis Software. Version 1.7. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Müller, H., Jouan, C. & Salhi, F. (1997). Synth. Met. 85, 1457-1458.
- Müller, H., Puig-Molina, A., Narymbetov, B. Zh., Zorina, L. V., Khasanov, S. S. & Shibaeva, R. P. (1998). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 624, 1492-1496.
- Müller, H., Salhi, F. & Divisia-Blohorn, B. (1997). Tetrahedron Lett. 38, 3215-3218.
- Müller, H., Svensson, S. O., Fitch, A. N., Lorenzen, M. & Xenikos, D. G. (1997). Adv. Mater. 9, 896-900.
- Müller, H. & Ueba, Y. (1993). Synthesis, pp. 853-854.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- Schumaker, R. R., Lee, V. Y. & Engler, E. M. (1984). J. Org. Chem. 49. 564-568.
- Xenikos, D. G., Müller, H., Jouan, C., Sulpice, A. & Tholence, J. L. (1997). Solid State Commun. 102, 681-685.

Redetermination of 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-dihydroxybenzenet

GAKUSE HOSHINA, SHIGERU OHBA AND MASANOBU Тѕиснімото

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, Hiyoshi 3-14-1, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama 223-8522, Japan. E-mail: ohba@chem.keio.ac.jp

(Received 16 November 1998; accepted 14 December 1998)

Abstract

The crystal structure of the title compound, C₈H₂Cl₂-N₂O₂, was reported recently [Reddy, Ovchinnikov, Shishkin, Struchkov & Desiraju (1996). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 118, 4085–4089]. However, the space group has been corrected from Pna21 to Pnma in the present study. The molecule lies on a mirror plane perpendicular to b.

Comment

Crystals of the title compound, 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-dihydroxybenzene (DDH), are orthorhombic and the systematic absences (0kl, k+l odd, and hk0, h odd)indicate that the space group is Pnma (No. 62) or $Pn2_1a$, the $a\bar{c}b$ setting of $Pna2_1$ (No. 33). Assuming the centrosymmetric space group Pnma, the structure solution was straightforward.



Reddy et al. (1996) reported the crystal structure of DDH in space group $Pna2_1$, with lattice constants a =16.503 (2), b = 8.542 (1) and c = 6.421 (2) Å at 140 K. Their fractional coordinates of non-H atoms (x', y', z')are related to those obtained in the present paper (x, y, z)as x' = 1 - x, $y' = z + \frac{1}{2}$ and z' = 0.365 = y + 0.115. The crystal structure is essentially the same as that reported by Reddy et al. (1996). There are intramolecular O- $H \cdots Cl$ hydrogen bonds, which cause expansion of the O1-C3-C2 and O2-C6-C1 bond angles. Fig. 2 shows the intermolecular O-H···N=C hydrogen-bond network in the $y = \frac{1}{4}$ plane, which is a crystallographic mirror plane.

[†] Alternative name: 4,5-dichloro-3,6-dihydroxyphthalonitrile.



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of DDH. Displacement ellipsoids are plotted at the 50% probability level. H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary size.



Fig. 2. Part of the crystal structure $(y = \frac{1}{4})$ projected along **b**.

Experimental

In the course of the oxidation reaction of some metal complexes by DDQ (2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone), a yellow compound (DDH) was obtained. Crystals were grown from an acetonitrile solution.

Crystal data

$C_8H_2Cl_2N_2O_2$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 229.02$	$\lambda = 0.7107 \text{ Å}$

Orthorhombic *Pnma* a = 16.380 (3) Å b = 6.536 (2) Å c = 8.514 (2) Å $V = 911.5 (7) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 4 $D_x = 1.669 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ D_m not measured

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-7*R* diffractometer θ -2 θ scans Absorption correction: ψ scan (North *et al.*, 1968) $T_{min} = 0.774$, $T_{max} = 0.815$ 1132 measured reflections 1132 independent reflections

Refinement

C11 C12 O1 O2 N1 N2 C1 C2 C3

C4 C5 C6 C7 C8

Refinement on F R = 0.031 wR = 0.058 S = 0.991 1132 reflections 87 parameters Only H-atom U's refined w = $1/[\sigma^2(F_o) + 0.00250|F_o|^2]$ Cell parameters from 22 reflections $\theta = 14.5-15.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.681 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 296.2 KPrism $0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.3 \text{ mm}$ Yellow

974 reflections with $l > 2\sigma(l)$ $\theta_{max} = 27.5^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 21$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 8$ $l = -11 \rightarrow 0$ 3 standard reflections every 150 reflections intensity decay: 1%

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.0005$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.18 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.30 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none Scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U^{ij} a^i a^j \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j.$

x	y	z	U_{eq}
0.79129 (4)	1/4	-0.28490 (6)	0.0487 (2)
0.67384 (3)	1/4	0.00695 (7)	0.0507 (2)
0.76362 (9)	1/4	0.3162 (2)	0.0489 (4)
0.96916 (10)	1/4	-0.1952 (2)	0.0520 (5)
0.9522 (1)	1/4	0.4823 (2)	0.0643 (7)
1.0999 (1)	1/4	0.1207 (2)	0.0538 (6)
0.8295 (1)	1/4	-0.0972(2)	0.0359 (5)
0.7772 (1)	1/4	0.0312 (3)	0.0368 (5)
0.8088 (1)	1/4	0.1856 (2)	0.0373 (5)
0.8935 (1)	1/4	0.2043 (2)	0.0357 (5)
0.9454 (1)	1/4	0.0736 (2)	0.0346 (5)
0.9146 (1)	1/4	-0.0780(2)	0.0362 (5)
0.9262 (1)	1/4	0.3605 (2)	0.0437 (6)
1.0321 (1)	1/4	0.0973 (2)	0.0384 (5)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)				
CI1-C1	1.716 (2)	O2—C6	1.339 (3)	
Cl2—C2	1.706 (2)	N1-C7	1.121 (3)	
O1-C3	1.335 (3)	N2	1.128 (3)	
O1-C3-C2	124.9 (2)	O2-C6-C1	125.2 (2)	
O1-C3-C4	117.1 (2)	O2-C6-C5	116.8 (2)	

Table 3. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> H	$\mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{A}$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdots A$	
OI—HI···Cl2	0.96	2.58	3.016 (2)	108	
O2—H2· · ·CI1	0.96	2.52	3.012 (2)	112	
O1—H1···N2'	0.96	1.84	2.735 (3)	153	
O2—H2· · ·N1 ["]	0.96	1.87	2.760 (3)	152	
Symmetry codes: (i) $x - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (ii) $x, y, z - 1$.					

Refinement was carried out based on all independent reflections. The threshold $I > 2\sigma(I)$ was used only for calculation of the R factor. The hydroxyl H atoms were located from difference syntheses and only displacement parameters were refined, assuming ideal bond lengths (O—H 0.96 Å).

Data collection: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1993). Cell refinement: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. Data reduction: TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation & Rigaku Corporation, 1998). Program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare et al., 1994). Program(s) used to refine structure: TEXSAN. Molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976). Software used to prepare material for publication: TEXSAN.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FG1531). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camalli, M. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435. Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge
- National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA. Molecular Structure Corporation (1993). MSC/AFC Diffractometer
- Control Software. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation & Rigaku Corporation (1998). TEXSAN. Single Crystal Structure Analysis Software. Version 1.9. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA, and Rigaku Corporation, 3-9-12 Akishima, Tokyo, Japan.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- Reddy, D. S., Ovchinnikov, Y. E., Shishkin, O. V., Struchkov, Y. T. & Desiraju, G. R. (1996). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 118, 4085-4089.

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 594-596

N-Propylimidazolidinetrione and N-methyl-N'-phenylethylimidazolidinetrione

Ryouko Yoshihara,^a Hiroyuki Hosomi,^a Hiromu Aoyama^b and Shigeru Ohba^a

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, Hiyoshi 3-14-1, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama 223-8522, Japan, and ^bDepartment of Material Chemistry, Faculty of Textile Science and Technology, Shinsyu University, Tokida 3-15-1, Ueda 386-0081, Japan. E-mail: ohba@chem.keio.ac.jp

(Received 1 September 1998; accepted 15 December 1998)

Abstract

In crystals of *N*-propylimidazolidinetrione, $C_6H_8N_2O_3$, (I), and *N*-methyl-*N'*-phenylethylimidazolidinetrione, $C_{12}H_{12}N_2O_3$, (II), the *N*-alkyl side chains have *trans*

© 1999 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved conformations. Photoirradiation of (I) gives the N-allyl derivative both in solution and in the solid state. (II) is photoreactive, yielding a tricyclic compound in solution, but not in the solid state. The photostability of (II) in the crystalline state may be due to the reaction cavity which does not allow the N'-phenylethyl moiety to make a large conformational change for cyclization.

Comment

The photoreactivities of imidazolidinetriones in solution vary with the substituents on the N atoms (Aoyama et al., 1984). An intramolecular disproportionation reaction occurs for (I) and a cyclization reactions occurs for (II). The intermediate of the reactions seems to be the 1,4-biradical formed by γ -hydrogen abstraction by the α -dicarbonyl group (Aoyama et al., 1984). Recently, the photoreactivities in the solid state have also been investigated for these compounds by one of the authors (HA). The yield of (I') was ca 30% after irradiation for 12 h with a 1000 W high-pressure Hg lamp, but there was no yield of (II'). The yields of the photoproducts were estimated via their ¹H NMR spectra. The crystal structure analyses have been carried out to investigate the geometrical factors which might determine the reactivity in the solid state. The distances between the carbonyl oxygen, O3, and one of the γ -H atoms bonded to C10 are 3.42 (4) Å in (I), and 3.17 Å (no standard uncertainty due to a riding model) in (II). The C8=O3···H angles are 74.6 (7) and 76.4°, respectively. Therefore, the conformations in (I) and (II) are both suitable for intramolecular hydrogen abstraction. It is supposed that the reaction of (I) takes place with little structural change, but that of (II) does not because a large conformational change of the ethylphenyl group is not allowed in the crystal. The C6-O1 bond length in (I) is 0.022 (4) Å longer than that in (II). This elongation of the carbonyl bond is attributed to the hydrogen bonding of O1 in (I), which does not occur in (II). Similar effects were reported for imidazolidinetrione (Weber & Craven, 1987).



Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 © 1999